## ORIGINAL PAPER

# The influence of secondary interactions on complex stability and double proton transfer reaction in 2-[1H]-pyridone/2-hydroxypyridine dimers

Borys Ośmiałowski · Robert Dobosz

Received: 21 September 2010 / Accepted: 9 December 2010 / Published online: 31 December 2010 © Springer-Verlag 2010

Abstract The 2-[1H]-pyridone/2-hydroxypyridine tautomeric pair and its 6-substituted complexes have been studied with the use of DFT(M05) method. The intermolecular interaction energy has been calculated and discussed in the light of secondary interaction concept. The attractive secondary interactions of O/NH and O/OH type and OH/ NH and OH/OH repulsions have been analyzed in terms of stabilizing or destabilizing influence on intermolecular behavior. The transition states of the double proton transfer reaction have been found and the energy of activation has been determined. The activation energy of the proton transfer reaction, geometry of the complexes and transition states show NH<sub>2</sub> and/or OH groups influence the properties of complexes and transition states. The HOMA index of aromaticity was applied to describe the  $\pi$ -electron delocalization in the heterocyclic rings.

**Keywords** Hydrogen bond  $\cdot$  2-hydroxypyridine  $\cdot$  Proton transfer  $\cdot$  2-[1*H*]-pyridone  $\cdot$  Self-association  $\cdot$  Transition state

## Introduction

Chemical structures held together by hydrogen bonding, which is recognized as moderately strong and directional interaction, are very common [1, 2]. Sophisticated

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00894-010-0934-y) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

B. Ośmiałowski (⊠) • R. Dobosz
Faculty of Chemical Technology and Engineering, University of Technology and Life Sciences, Seminaryjna 3,
PL-85-326 Bydgoszcz, Poland
e-mail: borys.osmialowski@utp.edu.pl aggregates such as non-covalent polymers, blends [3, 4] and molecular sensors [5-7] often become the subject of contemporary studies. The hydrogen bond strength depends on a few factors. In general, the more electronegative atoms are, the stronger the hydrogen bonds [8]. Thus, the NH…OC hydrogen bond is stronger than NH…N. Among the plethora of structures stabilized by hydrogen bonding, the NH…OC interaction is most common [9-13]. This type of hydrogen bond is met in amides [14-17] and DNA [18, 19]. Beside its natural occurrence, this type of hydrogen bonding may also be used to control the solvent dependent photoreaction [20] or in organocatalysis [21]. Apart from the strength of hydrogen bonding, there are other factors that may influence the stability of aggregate. One of them is secondary interaction (SI) present in multiple hydrogenbonded complexes [22]. These interactions (Fig. 1) act as stabilizing or destabilizing forces in complexes with adjacent hydrogen bonds and play a crucial role in dimerization [23-26]. The character of secondary interaction depends on hydrogen-bonding pattern, *i.e.* the order of hydrogen bonds donors and acceptors [22]. As a consequence, the secondary interactions can be of attractive (SI<sub>a</sub>) or repulsive (SI<sub>r</sub>) character. These two interactions are the main driving forces that influence the stability of hydrogen-bonded associates.

2-[1*H*]-Pyridone [27, 28] is considered as the most stable doubly hydrogen-bonded dimer known so far [29]. However, the substituents in hetercocyclic ring may influence the properties of the said dimer [30]. According to Yang [31], the NH…OC hydrogen bond distance in 2-[1*H*]-pyridone is equal to 1.78Å. The N-O distance is equal to 2.75Å according to Held and Pratt [32] in the gas phase. This value is in agreement with MP2 optimized distance equal to 2.74Å [29]. The respective

Fig. 1 Secondary interactions in triple hydrogen bonded systems



hydrogen bond length in dimer of 5-nitro-2-[1H]-pyridone [30] is 1.88Å. The mentioned X-ray data shows that the substituent is responsible for changes of hydrogen bond geometries of pyridone dimers. Taking into account the SI concept, the substituent in the 6 position, as being in the interacting part of molecule, of the 2-[1H]-pyridone should influence the intermolecular interactions.

There are two basic centres in hydroxypyridine anion that compete for one proton. However, the proton transfer (tautomerism) may be observed not only in isolated molecules but also in complexes. The tautomerism in 2-[1H]-pyridone/2-hydroxypyridine was the subject of many publications [33-39]. Also, the aminopyridines [40-43] were studied with the use of experimental and theoretical methods [29, 40, 44]. The 2-[1H]pyridone has also been considered as hydrogen-bonded counterpart for DNA bases [45, 46], 2-aminopyridine [47], azaindole [48]. The hydrogen bonding of 2-[1H]-pyridone is strong enough to allow transferring the energy between said molecule and DNA bases in the excited state [46], thus it has been used by Müller et al. as a probe chromophore [45].

The ab initio calculations suggest that MP2 [49] method is accurate enough to describe hydrogen bonded dimer geometry [50]. Recently improved DFT methods are alternative to MP2, especially when a large system is considered. The DFT functionals by Zhao and Truhlar take the long distance interactions into account, thus they are useful in describing non-covalent interactions [51-53]. Moreover, the DFT method is much less time demanding than the MP2 one.

Figure 2 shows the studied structures and atom numbering.

The hydrogen-bonded dimers of studied structures may exist in equilibrium passing through the transition state. The double proton transfer leads to change in the type of hydrogen bonds stabilizing the dimer (NH...  $O \rightarrow OH \cdots N$ ) and secondary interaction(s)  $(SI_a \rightarrow SI_r)$ (Scheme 1). The question arises: what is the structure of the transition state? It is worth noting that the OH and NH<sub>2</sub> substituents are expected to influence complex properties in different way. Thus, apart from the fact that type of SIs changes in double proton transfer reaction depending on presence/absence of substituent(s) at 6/6' position(s), also the strength of SIs depends on substituent type (OH or/and NH<sub>2</sub>). According to the SIs model, the attractive SI present in one constituent of the complex should lower the intermolecular/interatomic distances at the side of its presence. Reverse situation is expected for repulsive SI.

The aim of this work is to describe the energetic preferences of 2-hydroxy/2-oxo-[1H]-6-substituted pyridines/ones in the hydrogen-bonded complex and double proton transfer (tautomerism) reaction within complexes.

## Methods

The 6-311+G(d,p) basis set was suggested for calculations of molecules involved in hydrogen bonding where the cooperativity of interactions was observed [54-57]. Structure optimizations were unrestricted. Although the triple- $\zeta$  basis set with diffuse and polarization functions was suggested for similar system, the basis set study was performed. The geometry of 2-[1H]-pyridone dimer was taken for tests (see Supplementary material). The best agreement of X-ray data with calculated geometries was obtained for calculations with 6-311+G (2d,p) basis set. Consequently, this basis set was used for calculations of monomers, complexes and transition states. All calculations were performed with the use of GAMESS [58] and Gaussian [59] software. For all optimized geometries (M05/6-311+G(2d,p) level), the frequency



Fig. 2 The compounds studied and atom numbering



Scheme 1 The transition state (TS) for double, intermolecular proton transfer reaction

calculations were run to check if the geometry was in energy minima (no negative frequencies were obtained). The optimized transition states were also characterized by the frequency calculations (one imaginary frequency was obtained). The interaction energies corrected to the BSSE (basis set superposition error) were calculated with the use of counterpoise method implemented in Gaussian with default settings.



Scheme 2 The structure and atom numbering in pyridone complexes. Solid and dashed double-headed arrows show the attractive and repulsive interactions, respectively



Scheme 3 The structure and atom numbering in hydroxypyridine complexes. Solid double-headed arrows show the repulsive interactions

#### **Results and discussion**

The structures of studied complexes are exemplified in Schemes 2 and 3 (substituents X and X' are explained in Tables 1 and 2 that follow the respective schemes). Tables 1 and 2 also show the selected geometric data of complexes of **1-3** and **1'-3'** and energy of interaction (*E*). The molecules in optimized complexes converged to planar geometries including the OH and  $NH_2$  groups unless otherwise stated in the following tables.

The geometry data of optimized complexes shows that in most structures, NH···O intermolecular hydrogen bonds are shorter than respective OH···N hydrogen bonds. The opposite situation is realized for H1···O7' hydrogen bond in 1+1, 1+2 and 1+3 comparing to 1'+ 1', 1'+2' and 1'+3'. The presence of X(X')H groups in the complex makes the intermolecular hydrogen bond shorter in complexes containing 1, 2 or 3 and longer in that of 1', 2' or 3'. The N1–N1' distance is smaller in complexes of pyridones in comparison to hydroxypyridines. On the other hand, the O7–O7' distance is higher in pyridone than in hydroxypyridine complexes. This suggests that the presence of the X(X')H substituent increases the SI<sub>a</sub> and SI<sub>r</sub> interactions energy in complexes of pyridone and hydroxypyridine, respectively. This, in turn, results in variation of the O-N distances in the complexes. Interestingly, the C2–C2' distance in 1+1 and 1'+1' complexes is the shortest in both series, *i.e.* the presence of X(X')H

X X'	Complex					
	1+1 - -	1+2 - NH	1+3 - O	2+2 NH NH	3+3 O O	2+3 O NH
E	-17.57	-20.12	-21.64	-23.12	-26.05	-24.76
H1O7′	1.785	1.773	1.796	1.781	1.750	1.735
H1′O7	1.785	1.782	1.736	1.781	1.750	1.802
N1-C2'	3.635	3.627	3.622	3.585	3.529	3.534
N1–O7′	2.816	2.808	2.830	2.804	2.773	2.757
N1′-C2	3.635	3.610	3.565	3.585	3.529	3.574
N1′-O7	2.816	2.806	2.761	2.804	2.773	2.823
C2–C2′	4.108	4.127	4.121	4.151	4.125	4.138
N1-N1'	3.660	3.606	3.564	3.513	3.431	3.466
07–07′	3.583	3.617	3.640	3.696	3.727	3.719
O7–X′	-	3.410	3.351	3.315	3.270	3.321
07′–X	-	-	-	3.315	3.270	3.247
C2-O7-N1'	122.8	121.3	121.1	119.6	117.8	117.7
C2'-O7'-N1	122.8	122.5	120.7	119.6	117.8	119.1
C3-C2-C2'	174.9	173.9	174.3	171.0	169.9	169.3
C3'-C2'-C2	174.9	172.5	171.4	171.0	169.9	170.5

**Table 1** The hydrogen bond lengths, interatomic distances [Å] and angles  $[\circ]$  and energy of interaction (*E*) [kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>] in the complexes of pyridones **1-3** 

**Table 2** The hydrogen bond lengths, interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°] and energy of interaction (*E*) [kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>] in the complexes of hydroxypyridines **1'-3'** 

X X'	Complex						
	1'+1' - -	1'+2' - NH <sup>a</sup>	1'+3' - O	<b>2'+2'</b> NH NH	<b>3'+3'</b> 0 0	<b>2'+3'</b> O NH <sup>a</sup>	
E	-13.73	-13.33	-12.37	-13.05	-11.11	-12.26	
H7N1′	1.775	1.760	1.786	1.796	1.821	1.792	
H7′N1	1.775	1.815	1.812	1.796	1.821	1.825	
N1-C2′	3.529	3.575	3.579	3.577	3.610	3.604	
N1-07′	2.769	2.802	2.800	2.781	2.799	2.803	
N1′-C2	3.529	3.544	3.567	3.577	3.610	3.582	
N1′-O7	2.769	2.750	2.769	2.781	2.799	2.777	
C2–C2′	4.105	4.128	4.138	4.149	4.169	4.161	
N1–N1′	3.407	3.442	3.459	3.455	3.501	3.474	
07–07′	3.771	3.744	3.744	3.735	3.724	3.732	
07–X′	-	3.359	3.432	3.398	3.485	3.394	
07′–X	-	-	-	3.398	3.485	3.482	
C2-O7-N1'	114.5	116.4	116.5	116.5	117.4	117.1	
C2'-O7'-N1	114.5	115.3	115.7	116.5	117.4	116.7	
C3-C2-C2′	177.7	178.2	179.1	178.9	179.3	178.4	
C3'-C2'-C2	177.7	177.4	178.3	178.9	179.3	178.2	

a – amino group is tetrahedral

substituent(s) increases the C2-C2' distance. The intermolecular C2-O7-N1' angle decreases in pyridones and increases in hydroxypyridine series as the X(X')H substituent changes. Thus, besides the change in the relative position (*shift*) of molecules, the *twist* of one molecule against another is observed. Taking into account symmetric dimers, the change of N1-N1' and O7-O7' distances (due to X(X')H presence) are larger in pyridone than in hydroxypyridine series. This suggests that SI<sub>a</sub>s are stronger than SI<sub>r</sub>s (for numerical values see Table 3).

Table 3 collects the stabilization and destabilization energies ( $\Delta E$ ) of studied complexes upon substitution of H by OH and/or NH<sub>2</sub> in 6 and/or 6' position in the

complex. The non-substituted complexes, 1+1 and 1'+1', were used as references.

Comparison of  $\Delta E$  values given in Table 3 shows that the secondary interaction energies are not additive, *i.e.* two attractive interactions stabilize the complex more than two separate interactions of the same type. The same is realized for repulsions. This type of group like behavior is called cooperativity [60] and is based on the principle stating that in supramolecular chemistry "1+1 is more than 2" [1]. The cooperativity is also observed in geometry of complexes. For example, the difference in O7–O7' distance (Table 1) between 1+1 and 1+2 is 0.034Å (one attraction is present in 1+2), while the same difference in distance between 1+2

Pyridone series	No. of $NH_2$ Groups	No. of OH groups	$\Delta E$
1+2	1	0	-2.5
1+3	0	1	-4.0
2+2	2	0	-5.5
2+3	1	1	-7.2
3+3	0	2	-8.5
Hydroxypyridine series			$\Delta E$
1'+2'	1	0	0.4
1'+3'	0	1	1.3
2'+2'	2	0	0.6
2'+3'	1	1	1.4
3'+3'	0	2	2.6

Table 3The influence of NH2and OH groups on stabilization(negative values)/destabilization(positive values) of thecomplexes<sup>a</sup>

a – change in intermolecular interaction energy ( $\Delta E$ , kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) related to 1+1 and 1'+1'

and 2+2 is 0.079Å (two attractions of the same type are present in 2+2). Also, the N1–N1' distance changes more (this distance decreases) when another secondary interaction is present. The similar situation is realized in the series 1'+1', 1'+2' and 2'+2' (Table 2). The O7–O7' distance decreases, while N1–N1' increases. In complexes containing only repulsive interactions, presence of the second SI<sub>r</sub> results in smaller changes of interatomic distances (O7–O7' or N1–N1' in 2'+2' vs. 1'+2') rather than in complex containing one SI<sub>r</sub> with respect to the complex without SI<sub>r</sub>

The E for pyridone and hydroxypyridine complexes is summarized in Scheme 4. The data shows that the complexes containing molecules **1-3** are, in general, more stable.

The most stable structure among all dimers studied here is 3+3, while the least stable is 3'+3'. The difference in interaction energy for these two complexes is  $15.0 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ . These dimers can exist in equilibrium by intermolecular, two proton exchange reaction that proceeds *via* the transition state. Thus, the transition states (Scheme 1) were optimized and the activation energy ( $E_a$ ) of this process was calculated.

The transition state geometry parameters for studied double-proton transfer in the hydrogen-bonded complexes are summarized in Table 4. The superscript by the TS label gives the information about the reaction substrate and product (for example  $^{(1+1,1'+1')}$  is related to the TS of  $1+1 \rightarrow 1'+1'$  reaction).



Scheme 4 Schematic representation of relative energies of monomers/complexes and secondary interactions influence on complex stability

Table 4 The optimized transition state geometry (hydrogen bond length, interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°])

Geometry parameter X X'	Transition state						
	TS <sup>(1+1,1'+1')</sup> -	TS <sup>(1+2,1'+2')</sup> - NH	TS <sup>(1+3,1'+3')</sup> - O	<b>TS<sup>(2+2,2'+2')</sup></b> NH NH	TS <sup>(3+3,3'+3')</sup> O O	TS <sup>(2+3,2'+3')</sup> O NH	
H1…N1	1.232	1.322	1.265	1.228	1.205	1.291	
H1'…N1'	1.232	1.196	1.188	1.228	1.205	1.186	
H1…O7′	1.255	1.172	1.225	1.258	1.283	1.192	
H1′…O7	1.255	1.295	1.300	1.258	1.283	1.311	
N1-C2'	3.288	3.299	3.294	3.300	3.296	3.301	
N1-07'	2.486	2.492	2.489	2.483	2.485	2.480	
N1′-C2	3.288	3.289	3.286	3.300	3.296	3.304	
N1′-O7	2.486	2.488	2.484	2.483	2.485	2.494	
C2–C2′	3.801	3.825	3.822	3.835	3.840	3.841	
N1-N1'	3.296	3.280	3.278	3.284	3.274	3.282	
07–07′	3.451	3.466	3.461	3.445	3.456	3.452	
07–X′	-	3.164	3.188	3.175	3.190	3.166	
07′–X	-	-	-	3.175	3.190	3.213	
C2-07-N1'	118.3	118.1	118.1	119.0	118.8	118.8	
C2'	118.3	118.3	118.5	119.0	118.8	119.0	
C3–C2–C2′	175.9	175.9	175.6	175.4	174.7	175.2	
C3'-C2'-C2	175.9	175.4	174.8	175.4	174.7	175.4	



Scheme 5 Schematic representation of  $E_a$  of double, intermolecular proton transfer reaction in complexes studied

 Table 5
 The HOMA indexes of heterocyclic ring for monomers studied

Monomer	HOMA
2-[1 <i>H</i> ]-pyridone (1)	0.64
6-amino-2-[1 <i>H</i> ]-pyridone (2)	0.73
6-hydroxy-2-[1 <i>H</i> ]-pyridone ( <b>3</b> )	0.69
2-hydroxypyridine (1')	0.98
2-hydroxy-6-aminopyridine (2')	0.99
2,6-dihydroxypyridine ( <b>3</b> ')	0.99

Transition state HOMA A HOMA A' TS<sup>(1+1,1'+1')</sup> 0.90 TS<sup>(1+2,1'+2')</sup> 0.91 0.97 TS<sup>(1+3,1'+3')</sup> 0.91 0.95 TS<sup>(2+2,2'+2')</sup> 0.96 \_ TS<sup>(3+3,3'+3')</sup> 0.96 TS<sup>(2+3,2'+3')</sup> 0.97 0.95

Table 7 The HOMA indexes of heterocyclic rings in transition states

The geometry of the TSs follows the concept of secondary interactions as it was previously shown for stable complexes (Tables 1 and 2). The N1–N1' distance is the biggest in  $TS^{(1+1,1'+1')}$ . The C2–C2' distance is the smallest in the same transition state. Due to the presence of X' substituent and associated secondary interaction, the H1…N1/H1'…N1' hydrogen bond in  $TS^{(1+1,1'+1')}$  is shorter than H1…N1 in  $TS^{(1+2,1'+2')}$  and  $TS^{(1+3,1'+3')}$ . On the other hand, the H1…N1/H1'…N1' hydrogen bond in  $TS^{(1+2,1'+2')}$  and  $TS^{(1+3,1'+3')}$ . The remaining intermolecular distances/hydrogen bond lengths are also in agreement with SIs concept. Intermolecular angles collected in Table 4 are practically constant.

In general, the substituent present in the 6 position of the studied structures makes the proton transfer reaction more probable by lowering the  $E_a$  (Scheme 5). It is worth mentioning that the lowest  $E_a$  is observed for  $TS^{(3+3,3'+3')}$ and the relative energy of 3'+3' vs. 3+3 is the smallest, too.

It has already been mentioned that the geometry of monomers, complexes and transition states is dependent on

Table 6 The HOMA indexes of heterocyclic rings in complexes

Complex	HOMA A	HOMA A'	
1+1	0.74	-	
1+2	0.77	0.86	
1+3	0.79	0.84	
2+2	0.87	-	
3+3	0.87	-	
2+3	0.85	0.88	
1'+1'	0.98	-	
1'+2'	0.98	0.99	
1'+3'	0.98	0.99	
2'+2'	0.99	-	
3'+3'	0.99	-	
2'+3'	0.99	0.99	

hydrogen bonding, tautomeric form and the secondary interactions. The HOMA [61] index based on the bond lengths is able to describe the degree of electron conjugation and thus the variances in molecular geometry. Tables 5, 6 and 7 collect the HOMA indexes calculated for heterocyclic rings in studied structures.

The HOMA index is practically constant in 1'-3', both in monomeric and hydrogen-bonded complexes containing 1'-3'. The HOMA values are slightly higher for compounds 2 and 3 compared to 1 (Table 5). The HOMA index is increased in dimers (1+1, 2+2, 3+3 - Table 6) compared the respective values for monomers (Table 5). It is interesting that HOMA for 2-[1*H*]-pyridone molecule raises when it forms complex with the 6-amino(hydroxy)-2-[1*H*]pyridone (2,3), *i.e.* the substitution at 6' position of ring A' influences the  $\pi$ -electron conjugation in the ring A. All values of HOMA index for transition states are comparable (Table 7).

#### Conclusions

The intermolecular interactions in a classic 2-[1*H*]-pyridone/2-hydroxypyridine tautomeric pair are influenced by the substitution of the 6th position. The geometry and the energy of interaction follow the general trend of secondary interaction concept. The transition state of double proton transfer within the hydrogen-bonded complex is also affected by the type and number of secondary interaction present. The difference in  $E_a$  between the highest and the lowest lying transition state equals to 11 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. In general, the pyridone form is more stable than the hydroxypyridine one. The HOMA index of aromaticity for heterocyclic rings changes only slightly showing no straightforward conclusions.

Acknowledgments Financial support from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (grant no. N N204 174138) is gratefully acknowledged. The authors are very much indebted to the CYFRONET in Cracow for providing computer time and programs. The author thanks Prof. Ryszard Gawinecki for discussion and suggestions during composing this paper.

#### References

- Prins LJ, Reinhoudt DN, Timmerman P (2001) Noncovalent synthesis using hydrogen bonding. Angew Chem Int Ed 40:2382– 2426
- 2. Jeffrey GA (1997) An introduction to hydrogen bonding. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Brunsveld L, Folmer BJB, Meijer EW, Sijbesma RP (2001) Supramolecular polymers. Chem Rev 101:4071–4098. doi:10.1021/cr990125q
- De Greef TFA, Smulders MMJ, Wolffs M, Schenning APHJ, Sijbesma RP, Meijer EW (2009) Supramolecular polymerization. Chem Rev 109:5687–5754. doi:10.1021/cr900181u
- Schmidt J, Schmidt R, Wurthner F (2008) Synthesis, optical properties, and lfer analysis of solvent-dependent binding constants of hamilton-receptor-connected merocyanine chromophores. J Org Chem 73:6355–6362. doi:10.1021/jo801083b
- Jiang YL, Gao X, Zhou G, Patel A, Javer A (2010) Selective recognition of uracil and its derivatives using a DNA repair enzyme structural mimic. J Org Chem 75:324–333. doi:10.1021/ jo901862x
- Kang SO, Day VW, Bowman-James K (2009) Fluoride: Solutionand solid-state structural binding probe. J Org Chem 75:277–283. doi:10.1021/jo901581w
- Foces-Foces C, Echevarria A, Jagerovic N, Alkorta I, Elguero J, Langer U, Klein O, Minguet-Bonvehi M, Limbach H-H (2001) A solid-state nmr, x-ray diffraction, and ab initio computational study of hydrogen-bond structure and dynamics of pyrazole-4carboxylic acid chains. J Am Chem Soc 123:7898–7906. doi:10.1021/ja0026881
- Jonsson S, Arribas CS, Wendt OF, Siegel JS, Warnmark K (2005) Synthesis of 2-pyridone-fused 2, 2-bipyridine derivatives. An unexpectedly complex solid state structure of 3, 6-dimethyl-9 h–4, 5, 9-triazaphenanthren-10-one. Org Biomol Chem 3:996–1001
- Zimmerman SC, Duerr BF (1992) Controlled molecular aggregation. 1. Cyclic trimerization via hydrogen bonding. J Org Chem 57:2215–2217
- Beak P, Zeigler JM (1981) Molecular organization by hydrogen bonding: Juxtaposition of remote double bonds for photocyclization in a 2-pyridone dimer. J Org Chem 46:619–624
- Wash PL, Maverick E, Chiefari J, Lightner DA (1997) Acidamide intermolecular hydrogen bonding. J Am Chem Soc 119:3802–3806
- Gallant M, Phan VMT, Wuest JD (1991) Hydrogen-bonded dimers. Direct study of the interconversion of pyridone dimers and hydroxy pyridine monomers by low-temperature nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. J Am Chem Soc 113:721–723
- Richards FM, Kundrot CE (1988) Identification of structural motifs from protein coordinate data: Secondary structure and first-level supersecondary structure. Proteins Struct Funct Genet 3:71–84
- Kabsch W, Sander C (1983) Dictionary of protein secondary structure: Pattern recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features. Biopolymers 22:2577–2637
- Frishman D, Argos P (1995) Knowledge-based protein secondary structure assignment. Proteins Struct Funct Genet 23:566–579
- Jeffery GA, Saenger W (1994) Hydrogen bonding in proteins. In: Hydrogen bonding in biological structures. Springer, Berlin, pp 351–394
- Watson JD, Crick FHC (1953) Molecular structure of nucleic acids: A structure for deoxyribose nucleic acid. Nature 171:737–738
- Watson JD, Crick FHC (1953) Genetical implications of the structure of deoxyribonucleic acid. Nature 171:964–967
- Sieburth SM, McGee KF Jr (1999) Solvent-dependent stereoselectivity of bis-2-pyridone [4+4] photocycloaddition is due to hbonded dimers. Org Lett 1:1775–1777

- Clarke ML, Fuentes JA (2007) Self-assembly of organocatalysts: Fine-tuning organocatalytic reactions13. Angew Chem Int Ed Eng 46:930–933
- Jorgensen WL, Pranata J (1990) Importance of secondary interactions in triply hydrogen bonded complexes: Guaninecytosine vs uracil-2, 6-diaminopyridine. J Am Chem Soc 112:2008–2010
- Alvares-Rua C, Garcia-Granda S, Goswami S, Mukherjee R, Dey S, Claramunt RM, Santa Maria MD, Rozas I, Jagerovic N, Alkorta I, Elguero J (2004) Multiple hydrogen bonds and tautomerism in naphthyridine derivatives. New J Chem 28:700–707
- Xu W, Li X-C, Tan H, Chen G-J (2006) Theoretical study on stabilities of multiple hydrogen bonded dimers. Phys Chem Chem Phys 8:4427–4433
- Ośmiałowski B (2009) Systematic investigation of 2, 7dihydroxy-1, 8-naphthyridine dimerization - secondary interactions and tautomeric preferences calculations. J Mol Struct THEOCHEM 908:92–101
- Luening U, Kuhl C, Uphoff A (2002) Four hydrogen bonds ddaa, dada, daad and adda hydrogen bond motifs. Eur J Org Chem 2002:4063–4070
- 27. Szyc Ł, Guo J, Yang M, Dreyer J, Tolstoy PM, Nibbering ETJ, Czarnik-Matusewicz B, Elsaesser T, Limbach H-H (2010) The hydrogen-bonded 2-pyridone dimer model system. 1. Combined nmr and ft-ir spectroscopy study. J Phys Chem A 114:7749-7760. doi:10.1021/jp103630w
- Yang M, Szyc Ł, Dreyer J, Nibbering ETJ, Elsaesser T The hydrogen-bonded 2-pyridone dimer model system. 2. Femtosecond mid-infrared pump-probe study. J Phys Chem A. doi:10.1021/ jp108096y
- Müller A, Losada M, Leutwyler S (2004) Ab initio benchmark study of (2-pyridone)2, a strongly bound doubly hydrogen-bonded dimer. J Phys Chem A 108:157–165
- Forlani L, Cristoni G, Boga C, Todesco PE, Vecchio ED, Selva S, Monari M (2002) Reinvestigation of the tautomerism of some substituted 2-hydroxypyridines ARKIVOC 11:198-215
- 31. Yang HW, Craven BM (1998) Charge density study of 2pyridone. Acta Crystallogr B 54:912–920. doi:10.1107/ S0108768198006545
- Held A, Pratt DW (1990) The 2-pyridone dimer, a model cis peptide. Gas-phase structure from high-resolution laser spectroscopy. J Am Chem Soc 112:8629–8630
- Abdulla HI, El-Bermani MF (2001) Infrared studies of tautomerism in 2-hydroxypyridine 2-thiopyridine and 2-aminopyridine. Spectrochim Acta A 57:2659–2671
- 34. Bensaude O, Chevrier M, Dubois JE (1978) Lactim-lactam tautomeric equilibriums of 2-hydroxypyridines. 1. Cation binding, dimerization, and interconversion mechanism in aprotic solvents. A spectroscopic and temperature-jump kinetic study. J Am Chem Soc 100:7055–7060. doi:10.1021/ja00490a046
- Bensaude O, Dreyfus M, Dodin G, Dubois JE (1977) Intramolecular nondissociative proton transfer in aqueous solutions of tautomeric heterocycles: A temperature-jump kinetic study. J Am Chem Soc 99(13):4438–4446. doi:10.1021/ja00455a037
- Bensaude O, Chevrier M, Dubois J-E (1978) Influence of hydration upon tautomeric equilibria. Tetrahedron Lett 19:2221– 2224
- Hammes GG, Park AC (1969) Kinetic and thermodynamic studies of hydrogen bonding. J Am Chem Soc 91:956–961. doi:10.1021/ ja01032a028
- Hammes GG, Spivey HO (1966) A kinetic study of the hydrogenbond dimerization of 2-pyridone1. J Am Chem Soc 88:1621– 1625. doi:10.1021/ja00960a006
- Wang J, Boyd RJ (1996) Tautomeric equilibria of hydroxypyridines in different solvents: An ab initio study. J Phys Chem 100:16141–16146. doi:10.1021/jp961295z

- Wei CY, Chou PT, Hung FT (1997) Conjugated dual hydrogen bonds mediating 2-pyridone/2-hydroxypyridine tautomerism. J Phys Chem B 101:9119–9126
- Katritzky AR, Ghiviriga I (1995) An NMR study of the tantomerism of 2-acylaminopyridines. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2:1651–1653
- 42. Krygowski TM, Pawlak D, Anulewicz R, Rasała D, Gawinecki R, Häfelinger G, Homsi MN, Kuske FKH (1996) Resonance interactions in the n-nitramino group. X-ray study of alpha-, beta- and gamma-nitraminopyridines. Acta Chem Scand 50:808– 815
- 43. Gawinecki R, Raczyńska ED, Rasała D, Styrcz S (1997) Tautomeric and conformational preferences in nitraminopyridines: Comparison of theoretical and experimental data. Tetrahedron 53:17211–17220
- 44. Wu R, Brutschy B (2004) Infrared depletion spectroscopy and structure of the 2-aminopyridine dimer. J Phys Chem A 108:9715–9720
- 45. Müller A, Frey JA, Leutwyler S (2005) Probing the watson-crick, wobble, and sugar-edge hydrogen bond sites of uracil and thymine. J Phys Chem A 109:5055–5063
- 46. Müller A, Leutwyler S (2004) Nucleobase pair analogues 2pyridone, uracil, 2-pyridone, thymine, and 2-pyridone, 5-fluorouracil: Hydrogen-bond strengths and intermolecular vibrations. J Phys Chem A 108:6156–6164
- Rosciolo JR, Pratt DW (2003) Base pair analogs in the gas phase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:13752–13754
- Hazra MK, Samanta AK, Chakraborty T (2007) Laser induced fluorescence spectroscopy of a mixed dimer between 2-pyridone and 7-azaindole. J Phys Chem A 111:7813–7818. doi:10.1021/ jp072476b
- Møller C, Plesset MS (1934) Note on an approximation treatment for many-electron systems. Phys Rev 46:618–622
- Rozas I (2007) On the nature of hydrogen bonds: An overview on computational studies and a word about patterns. Phys Chem Chem Phys 9:2782–2790
- Zhao Y, Truhlar DG (2006) Assessment of model chemistries for noncovalent interactions. J Chem Theor Comput 2:1009–1018
- 52. Zhao Y, Truhlar DG (2008) Exploring the limit of accuracy of the global hybrid meta density functional for main-group thermochemistry, kinetics, and noncovalent interactions. J Chem Theor Comput 4:1849–1868. doi:10.1021/ct800246v

- 53. Zhao Y, Truhlar DG (2008) The m06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: Two new functionals and systematic testing of four m06-class functionals and 12 other functionals. Theor Chem Acc 120:215–241
- 54. González L, Mó O, Yáńez M, Elguero J (1996) Cooperative effects in water trimers. The performance of density functional approaches. J Mol Struct THEOCHEM 371:1–10
- 55. González L, Mó O, Yáńez M (1997) High-level ab initio versus dft calculations on (h<sub>2</sub>o<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and h<sub>2</sub>o<sub>2</sub>-h<sub>2</sub>o complexes as prototypes of multiple hydrogen bond systems. J Comput Chem 18:1124–1135
- 56. Mo O, Yanez M, Elguero J (1992) Cooperative (nonpairwise) effects in water trimers: An ab initio molecular orbital study. J Chem Phys 97:6628–6638
- Mo O, Yanez M, Elguero J (1997) Study of the methanol trimer potential energy surface. J Chem Phys 107:3592–3601
- Schmidt MW, Baldridge KK, Boatz JA, Elbert ST, Gordon MS, Jensen JH, Koseki S, Matsunaga N, Nguyen KA, Su SJ, Windus TL, Dupuis M, Montgomery JA (1993) J Comput Chem 14:1347– 1363
- 59. Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, Montgomery J A, Vreven T, Kudin KN, Burant JC, Millam JM, Iyengar SS, Tomasi J, Barone V, Mennucci B, Cossi M, Scalmani G, Rega N, Petersson GA, Nakatsuji H, Hada M, Ehara M, Toyota K, Fukuda R, Hasegawa J, Ishida M, Nakajima T, Honda Y, Kitao O, Nakai H, Klene M, Li X, Knox JE, Hratchian HP, Cross JB, Adamo C, Jaramillo J, Gomperts R, Stratmann RE, Yazyev O, Austin AJ, Cammi R, Pomelli C, Ochterski JW, Ayala PY, Morokuma K, Voth GA, Salvador P, Dannenberg JJ, Zakrzewski VG, Dapprich S, Daniels AD, Strain MC, Farkas O, Malick DK, Rabuck AD, Raghavachari K, Foresman JB, Ortiz JV, Cui Q, Baboul AG, Clifford S, Cioslowski J, Stefanov BB, Liu G, Liashenko A, Piskorz P, Komaromi I, Martin RL, Fox DJ, Keith T, Al-Laham MA, Peng CY, Nanayakkara A, Challacombe M, Gill PMW, Johnson B, Chen W, Wong MW, Gonzalez C, Pople JA (2004) Gaussian 03, Revision e.01. Gaussian Inc, Pittsburgh, PA
- Hunter C, Anderson H (2009) What is cooperativity? Angew Chem Int Ed 48:7488–7499. doi:10.1002/anie.200902490
- Kuszewski J, Krygowski TM (1972) Definition of aromaticity basing on the harmonic oscillator model. Tetrahedron Lett 13:3839–3842